IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1159 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1083 OF 2006

Baban Mahadev More & Ors. ...Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Shri Jaydeep Mane for the Applicants.

Shri A.S.Shitole, A.P.P for the Respondent.

CORAM : A. S. OKA, J.

DATE : NOVEMBER 29, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the Applicants and the learned A.P.P for the State. The Applicants have been convicted for the offence punishable under section 304 (Part II) of the Indian Penal Code as well as under section 324 read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code. The substantive sentences are directed to run concurrently. The maximum substantive sentence is simple imprisonment for one year.

2. The Applicants were enlarged on bail during the pendency of trial. Appeal is not likely to be heard before the period of sentence is over.

3. Hence, Application is disposed of by passing following order:

(i) The Applicants shall be enlarged on bail on which they were enlarged during the pendency of the trial subject to filing fresh bail bonds.

(ii) Bail is granted subject to condition that the Applicants will report to the concerned Police Station once in every calendar month till the disposal of the Appeal.

JUDGE